

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,828	10/06/2000	Edward J.A. Pope	2676	
58688	7590 05/26/2006		EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			MOORE, MARGARET G	
P.O. BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1712	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/680,828	POPE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Margaret G. Moore	1712			
The MAILI	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	ddress		
WHICHEVER IS I - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply i - Failure to reply within in Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. y be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. s specified above, the maximum statutory period of the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB	CATION. Leply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the control			
Status						
1) Responsive	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 March 2006.					
·= ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this a						
closed in ac	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claim	s					
 4) Claim(s) 104 to 125 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 104 to 125 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacemen	ation is objected to by the Examine (s) filed on is/are: a) acc y not request that any objection to the t drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C			
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/680,828 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. Applicants' amendment filed 3/21/06 has been entered. While this amendment significantly clarifies the claimed invention, it necessitates the following election of species requirement.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: The different shaping steps found, for instance, in claims 118 to 123, the different crosslinking steps found, for instance, in claims 109 to 113 and the different preceramic materials found, for instance, in claims 115 and 116. In addition claim 104 is generic to a process which does and does not require specific preparation steps for the thermoplastic preceramic polymer as found in claims 124 (note that there are 2 claims 124) and 125. Claim 104 is also generic to a process which does or does not require the applying steps found in claims 105 to 108. The species are independent or distinct because the are each drawn to physically different process steps.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 104 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

In short, applicant is requested to elect one process within the breadth of claim 104 that contains specific process steps. The extremely large number of variations within the breadth of the various dependent claims places an undue examination burden on the Examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/680,828 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/680,828 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Margare G. Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

mgm 5/24/06